

University of Groningen

Governing big data

Zwitter, Andrej J.; Hadfield, Amelia

Published in:
Politics and Governance

DOI:
[10.17645/pag.v2i1.2](https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v2i1.2)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2014

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Zwitter, A. J., & Hadfield, A. (2014). Governing big data. *Politics and Governance*, 2(1), 1-3.
<https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v2i1.2>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Governing Big Data

Andrej J. Zwitter ¹ and Amelia Hadfield ²

¹ Faculty of Law, University of Groningen, Oude Kijk in 't Jatstraat 26, 9712 EK Groningen, The Netherlands; E-Mail: a.zwitter@rug.nl

² Department of Psychology, Politics and Sociology, Canterbury Christ Church University, North Holmes Road, St. Martin's Priory, Canterbury, CT1 1QU, UK; E-Mail: amelia.hadfield@canterbury.ac.uk

How to Cite this Article

Zwitter, A. J., & Hadfield, A. (2014). Governing Big Data. *Politics and Governance*, 2(1), 1-3.

Copyrights

© 2014 by the authors; licensee Cogitatio (Lisbon, Portugal). This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).

Published by:



www.cogitatiopress.com

About the Journal

Politics and Governance is an innovative new offering to the world of online publishing in the Political Sciences. An internationally peer-reviewed open access journal, *Politics and Governance* publishes significant, cutting-edge and multidisciplinary research drawn from all areas of Political Science.

www.cogitatiopress.com/politicsandgovernance

Editors-in-Chief

Professor Andrej J. Zwitter, Faculty of Law, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

Professor Amelia Hadfield, Department of Psychology, Politics and Sociology, Canterbury Christ Church University, UK

Managing Editor

Mr. António Vieira, Politics and Governance, Cogitatio Press, Portugal

Editorial

Governing Big Data

Andrej J. Zwitter ^{1,*} and Amelia Hadfield ²¹ Faculty of Law, University of Groningen, Oude Kijk in 't Jatstraat 26, 9712 EK Groningen, The Netherlands;
E-Mail: a.zwitter@rug.nl² Department of Psychology, Politics and Sociology, Canterbury Christ Church University, North Holmes Road,
St. Martin's Priory, Canterbury, CT1 1QU, UK; E-Mail: amelia.hadfield@canterbury.ac.uk

* Corresponding author

Submitted: 20 March 2014 | Published: 14 April 2014

Abstract

2.5 quintillion bytes of data are created every day through pictures, messages, gps-data, etc. "Big Data" is seen simultaneously as the new Philosophers Stone and Pandora's box: a source of great knowledge and power, but equally, the root of serious problems.

Keywords

Big Data; privacy; research

Issue

This editorial is part of a regular issue of Politics and Governance, edited by Professor Andrej J. Zwitter (University of Groningen, The Netherlands) and Professor Amelia Hadfield (Canterbury Christ Church University, UK).

© 2014 by the authors; licensee Cogitatio (Lisbon, Portugal). This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY).

2.5 quintillion bytes of data are created every day through pictures, messages, gps-data, etc. (Zicari, 2012). "Big Data" is seen simultaneously as the new Philosophers Stone and Pandora's box: a source of great knowledge and power, but equally, the root of serious problems. While corporate and public agencies have long been concerned with this phenomenon since the beginning of the communication age, the debate about big data has recently risen in prominence as a result of the NSA Prism scandal, itself something of a follow-on from the Wikigate and Edward Snowden saga. Among one of the most prominent current challenges in contemporary politics is the question of how to deal with big data. The recent Snowden revelations about NSA surveillance of foreign heads of states have caused international tensions between Indonesia and Australia (Deutsche Welle, 2013), Brazil and Germany, the latter levelling accusations at the United States of America, with backlashes from a range of EU actors, and indeed the United Nations General Assembly (Lynch, Harris, & Hudson, 2013). Following on from our previous editorial, in which we debated the challenges associated with

open access publishing, we now briefly explore the ways in which Big Data—as a polyvalent empirical research tool—and its associated issue of attenuated or enhanced Data Security now confronts current researchers in the area of governance and politics.

The 'inputs' of Big Data are generated on a daily basis—largely involuntarily by individuals in the process of simple and complex interactions with all forms of information communication technology (ICT). The 'outputs' of Big Data, however, are more troublesome to understand. A vast majority is clearly being deliberately accrued and retained by a wide range of commodity and financial service providers, as well as those in the internet and wider security sectors (data harvesting). In contrast to traditional datasets for statistical analysis based on purposely selected variables, Big Data is the accumulation of purposefully selected and random data of individuals and groups, longitudinal data, and many other forms of information. The use of Big Data in various forms (e.g. data mining) reveals clear and interesting profiles about individuals, and indeed groups, when consolidated into data pools in longitudinal form.

Illustrating economic, social, and even political and cultural preferences, as well as personal identification, much of a highly sensitive nature, Big Data researchers now have access to a seemingly endless swathe of sensitive information, the collection of which would—prior to the unregulated impunity of the Big Data age—likely have been prohibited by any decent ethics committee. The behavioural algorithms generated via longitudinal data pools reveal the intimate sensibilities, preferences, and future likely procurement patterns and societal behaviour of individuals and groups in any range of geographical, political, socio-cultural, religious and economic categories.

The **negative ramifications** are obvious. Much is taken without knowledge or consent, for the purposes of guiding, managing (largely online) commercial choice to ensure online consumers can easily buy exactly what they were looking for, and find it hard to resist buying a great deal that they weren't looking for. Hard on the heels of a global financial crisis that spun out of control largely due to chronically ill-informed consumers being peddled unsustainable mortgages and loans, Big Data gathering by both commercial and security actors (principally in North America and Europe) is the insidious spin-off of a private sector desperate to rebuild itself in the wake of such a macro-financial catastrophe.

But the **positive potential** is also enormous, from the perspective of basic data analysis within politics and governance studies. Raw data on personal, political, procurement patterns, suggest a new-found ability to look in-depth at a wide variety of social behaviour never previously attempted. Researchers in politics, governance, and international relations could indeed benefit from ethically-sound (i.e. anonymized and voluntarily provided) Big Data for distilling information about the interrelation between micro- and macro-level variables, combining individual, national and international actors, and shed empirical light on complex interactions previously hardly researchable.

Other 'political positives' suggest themselves, both via Big Data and from the untrammelled expansion of Social Media as a form of political communication and leverage. Clearly Big Data, and the ability to access, analyze and control based on the results, is a tremendous new source of political, economic, and even military power. Social Networks, which operate as some of the strongest generators of Big Data (e.g. Social Network Analysis) not only provide fascinating raw material on the social and political preferences of its users (if indeed it could be used as such a research tool), but have been effectively used to generate political outcomes. Attempts by the Chinese Communist Party to restrain the national use of Google to the use Facebook and Twitter during a variety of Arab Spring uprisings, and Egypt's response of cutting off all internet and mobile communication between demonstrators all illustrate

the profound ability of interlinked communication to generate a political that is anything but virtual (Richtel, 2011). The sheer range of Big Data's impact is still largely untapped as a tool to further political and governance studies. In response, an MIT project called "Immersion" now makes it more possible for users to experience the entire scope of information gathering and networking analysis possible based merely on one's own email contacts (without even going into the content) ("Immersion: A People-centric View", n.d.). Is this the first cut at turning the insidious nature of Big Data to a more palatable, objective use as the raw empirical data for studies within social and political science? Do we ourselves as researchers see, understand and support the need for this new avenue?

Is Big Data poised to become the raw material of empirical investigation for politics and governance researchers? Does its research utility balance the tremendous power of its market reach? Much remains at odds, from the imbalance of ethics, security, surveillance, and lack of overarching code of conduct to assist in the governance of this troublesome area. Indeed, the most pressing issues to date are the difficulties encountered in governing the use and misuse of Big Data effectively. Would codes of conduct specifically for corporations, and codes of ethics for science and applied research help clarify this area? Watch this space. Carefully...

Acknowledgements

This Editorial was originally published with Librello Publishing House (Politics and Governance, 2014, Volume 2, Issue 1, pp. 1-2).

References

- Immersion: A People-centric View of Your Email Life*. Retrieved from: <https://immersion.media.mit.edu>
- Indonesia Freezes Cooperation with Australia over Phone Tapping* (November 20, 2013). Deutsche Welle. Retrieved from <http://dw.de/p/1ALAu>
- Lynch, C., Harris, S., & Hudson, J. (October 24, 2013). Exclusive: Germany, Brazil Turn to U.N. to Restrain American Spies. Foreign Policy—The Cable. Retrieved from: http://thecable.foreignpolicy.com/posts/2013/10/24/exclusive_germany_brazil_turn_to_un_to_restrain_american_spies
- Richtel, M. (January 28, 2011). Egypt Cuts Off Most Internet and Cellphone Service. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from: <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/01/29/technology/internet/29cutoff.html>
- Zicari, R. V. (June 4, 2012). Big Data for Good. ODBMS Industry Watch. Retrieved from <http://www.odbm.org/blog/2012/06/big-data-for-good>

About the Authors



Dr. Andrej Zwitter

Prof. dr. iur. Andrej Zwitter holds the NGIZ Chair in International Relations within the Faculty of Law at the University of Groningen and is also a co-Chair of Research in Ethics and Globalization. He furthermore is a Senior Research Fellow at Liverpool Hope University. He heads the Political Sciences section at the department of Legal Theory. His current research foci include the legal and political concept of state of emergency, international law and politics of humanitarian action, and ethics in international politics.



Dr. Amelia Hadfield

Dr. Amelia Hadfield is Senior Lecturer in Politics and International Relations within the Department of Psychology, Politics and Sociology at Canterbury Christ Church University. She oversees the newly-developing EU Politics degrees, a variety of Erasmus and internationalisation partnerships and blended learning initiatives. She researches, publishes and consults widely within her research area of EU foreign policy, which includes EU-Russia energy security, development and Neighbourhood policy, EU-Canada and Arctic relations, as well as EU education policy.